

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

ScienceDirect

journal homepage: <http://www.elsevier.com/locate/aob>

Review

The functions of human saliva: A review sponsored by the World Workshop on Oral Medicine VI



C. Dawes^{a,*}, A.M.L. Pedersen^b, A. Villa^{c,d}, J. Ekström^e, G.B. Proctor^f,
A. Vissink^g, D. Aframian^h, R. McGowanⁱ, A. Aliko^{j,1}, N. Narayana^k,
Y.W. Sia^l, R.K. Joshi^m, S.B. Jensen^b, A.R. Kerr^{n,i}, A. Wolff^o

^a Department of Oral Biology, University of Manitoba, Canada

^b Department of Odontology, Faculty of Health and Medical Sciences, University of Copenhagen, Denmark

^c Division of Oral Medicine and Dentistry, Brigham and Women's Hospital, USA

^d Department of Oral Medicine, Infection and Immunity, Harvard School of Dental Medicine, USA

^e Department of Pharmacology, The Sahlgrenska Academy, University of Gothenburg, Sweden

^f Dental Institute, King's College London, UK

^g University of Groningen and University Medical Center Groningen, Department of Oral and Maxillofacial Surgery, The Netherlands

^h The Hebrew University, Israel

ⁱ New York University, New York, USA

^j Faculty of Dental Medicine, Tirana, Albania

^k UNMC College of Dentistry, USA

^l McGill University, Canada

^m DAPMRV Dental College, Bangalore, India

ⁿ New York College of Dentistry, New York, USA

^o Tel-Aviv Sourasky Medical Center and Saliwell Ltd., Israel

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:

Accepted 2 March 2015

Keywords:

Moistening and lubrication
Taste and smell
Tooth protection
Mucosal protection
Anti-microbial
Wound healing

ABSTRACT

This narrative review of the functions of saliva was conducted in the PubMed, Embase and Web of Science databases. Additional references relevant to the topic were used, as our key words did not generate references which covered all known functions of saliva. These functions include maintaining a moist oral mucosa which is less susceptible to abrasion, and removal of micro-organisms, desquamated epithelial cells, leucocytes and food debris by swallowing. The mucins form a slimy coating on all surfaces in the mouth and act as a lubricant during such processes as mastication, formation of a food bolus, swallowing and speaking. Saliva provides the fluid in which solid tastants may dissolve and distributes tastants around the mouth to the locations of the taste buds. The hypotonic unstimulated saliva facilitates taste recognition. Salivary amylase is involved in digestion of starches.

* Corresponding author at: Department of Oral Biology, Faculty of Dentistry, University of Manitoba, 780 Bannatyne Ave., Winnipeg, MB, Canada R3E 0W2. Tel.: +1 204 789 3512; fax: +1 204 789 3913.

E-mail addresses: Colin_Dawes@umanitoba.ca (C. Dawes), ampl@sund.ku.dk (A.M.L. Pedersen), Villa@bu.edu (A. Villa), jorgen.ekstrom@pharm.gu.se (J. Ekström), gordon.proctor@kcl.ac.uk (G.B. Proctor), A.Vissink@umcg.nl (A. Vissink), Dorona@hadassah.org.il (D. Aframian), Richard.McGowan@med.nyu.edu (R. McGowan), ardita.aliko@k2.uib.no (A. Aliko), nnarayana@unmc.edu (N. Narayana), Ying.wai.sia@mcgill.ca (Y.W. Sia), revan.joshi@gmail.com (R.K. Joshi), sirib@sund.ku.dk (S.B. Jensen), ark3@nyu.edu (A.R. Kerr), awolff@zahav.net.il (A. Wolff).

¹ Current address: Broegelmann Research Laboratory, Department of Clinical Science, University of Bergen, Bergen, Norway.

<http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.archoralbio.2015.03.004>

0003-9969/© 2015 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Saliva acts as a buffer to protect oral, pharyngeal and oesophageal mucosae from orally ingested acid or acid regurgitated from the stomach. Saliva protects the teeth against acid by contributing to the acquired enamel pellicle, which forms a renewable lubricant between opposing tooth surfaces, by being supersaturated with respect to tooth mineral, by containing bicarbonate as a buffer and urea and by facilitating clearance of acidic materials from the mouth. Saliva contains many antibacterial, antiviral and antifungal agents which modulate the oral microbial flora in different ways. Saliva also facilitates the healing of oral wounds. Clearly, saliva has many functions which are needed for proper protection and functioning of the human body.

© 2015 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Contents

1. Introduction	864
2. Materials and methods	864
3. Results and discussion	865
3.1. Moistening and lubrication	865
3.2. Taste and smell	865
3.3. Digestion	867
3.4. Protection of the oral mucosa and oesophagus	867
3.5. Tooth protection	868
3.5.1. The acquired enamel pellicle	868
3.5.2. Salivary clearance	869
3.5.3. Salivary inorganic components, erosion and dental caries	869
3.5.4. Anti-bacterial, anti-viral, anti-fungal and some other effects of salivary proteins	870
3.5.5. Wound-healing factors	871
4. Conclusion	871
Acknowledgements	871
References	871

1. Introduction

Saliva has a multitude of functions, many of which are described in two recent books.^{1,2} In addition there have been several papers which have summarised a number of functions of saliva.^{3–8} The World Workshop on Oral Medicine VI was held in Orlando, Florida, in April 2014, and one Group was asked to carry out a review of medication-induced salivary gland dysfunction. This was to include an updated and comprehensive assessment of the functions of saliva, since there have been several recent advances in our understanding of the role of saliva in oral health. This then was the objective of the present review.

2. Materials and methods

This paper was written by the Group on Medication-Induced Salivary Gland Dysfunction (MISGD) within the World Workshop on Oral Medicine VI (WWOM VI). The Group is comprised of five reviewers (AA, RJ, NN, YS and AlV), six consultants (senior experts in fields related to MISGD: DA, CD, JE, AMLP, GP and ArV), one research librarian (RM), a Group Head (AW), and two supervisors on behalf of the WWOM VI Steering Committee (SBJ and ARK). The mission of this Group was the preparation of systematic reviews of a variety of subjects

related to MISGD. The research method was based on the policies and standards set forth by a Task Force for WWOM IV⁹ and by the PRISMA (Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses) statement,¹⁰ adapted to the current review. Thus, the work was divided into 6 steps:

Step 1 – Scope definition: the current review covered the question: what are the functions of saliva?

Step 2 – Keyword selection: keywords were selected for the research question (see Table 1).

Step 3 – Literature search: the initial literature search was conducted, until June 2013, in the PubMed, Embase and Web of Science databases, based on our chosen keywords, was not limited in languages and allowed identifying potentially relevant records and developing a

Table 1 – Key words for ‘Functions of Saliva’.

Keywords

Saliva AND Swallowing, Bolus formation, Lubrication, Buffering, Remineralisation, Tissue coating, Mucosal moistening, Food processing, Mastication, Chewing, Digestion, Starch metabolism, Fat metabolism, Enzymes, Taste, Antibacterial, Antimicrobial, Antifungal, Antiviral, Immunity, Clearance, Wound healing, Tissue repair, Dilution of strong tastants, Temperature modification of tastants, Speech, Denture wearing

comprehensive library. Group members were also encouraged to submit articles of interest located from referral or hand-searching. Information was gathered from reviews and books that have been published since 2008 and from original papers published in 2012–13. The search was completed by a hand search of the reference lists in the eligible papers, and after duplicates were removed, a total of 535 records were retained for Step 4.

Step 4 – Record screening for eligibility: supervised by the consultants, each record was screened by four reviewers (AA, NN, ALV and YS), and their eligibility determined, based on their title and abstract.

Step 5 – The reviewers analysed the titles, abstracts and the Materials and Methods sections of papers that were selected in Step 4 for: (1) type of study/publication (e.g. systematic review, etc.), (2) accurate and selective assessment of the relevance to the research question, and (3) level of evidence, i.e. the risk of bias in the paper. According to the degree of relevance and strength of methodology and evidence, papers were either excluded or retained for further in-depth analysis. This step was subdivided into two parts: (1) calibration among the reviewers as to the assessment requirements, and (2) actual review.

Step 6 – In-depth analysis: this was based on expert interpretation of the evidence. The consultants CD and AMLP and reviewer AV read and analysed the selected publications and drafted the current review. Additional key references relevant to the present review were also used because our key words and the restricted period of the literature search, although generating 535 references, did not identify ones which covered all known functions of saliva. Thus this is a narrative review, rather than a systematic review. The initial draft was reviewed, modified and subsequently approved by all coauthors. This review does not cover the role of saliva as a diagnostic fluid¹¹ since, strictly speaking, that is not a physiological salivary function!

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Moistening and lubrication

One of the main functions of the salivary glands is to provide a continuous flow of saliva into the oral cavity to maintain the oral mucosa in a moist condition so that it is less susceptible to abrasion and to remove micro-organisms, desquamated epithelial cells, leucocytes and food debris by the swallowing process.¹² A continuous flow of unstimulated saliva also helps to prevent retrograde infection of the salivary glands with oral microorganisms via the salivary ducts.¹³ Several large studies have reported that the mean flow rate of unstimulated/resting whole saliva in healthy persons during the day is in the range of 0.3–0.4 mL/min but with a large standard deviation.^{14–16} An unstimulated flow rate of <0.1 mL/min is considered evidence of salivary hypofunction.¹⁷ However, it has been known for some time that the degree of xerostomia is not directly related to a decreased salivary flow rate as the subjective sensation of dryness has been claimed by persons with flow rates in the normal range.^{18–20}

Salivary flow rate shows a circadian rhythm of high amplitude²¹ with peak flow in the late afternoon, while the flow rate is extremely low during sleep,²² which reduces the need to swallow during that time. Thus the time of day of saliva collection or flow rate measurement should be specified. The measured flow rate of whole saliva is actually the difference between the input of saliva from the salivary glands and possible loss of fluid, by absorption through the oral mucosa and by evaporation during mouth breathing,²³ if mouth breathing occurs during saliva collection. Absorption through the mucosa tends to occur because saliva is hypotonic at all flow rates and the oral mucosa is permeable to water. The total volume of saliva secreted per day has been estimated to be about 0.6 L.²⁴

In some species without sweat glands, saliva functions as a substitute for sweat. For instance, when over-heated, a dog extends its tongue and loses heat by evaporation of saliva, whereas an over-heated rat spreads saliva over the ventral surface of its body.²⁵

Although saliva is about 99% water, a very important difference between these two fluids is that saliva contains mucins which are synthesised by the submandibular and sublingual glands and by minor mucous glands present in the palatal, buccal and labial mucosa. Mucins, which are not present in parotid saliva or the secretions of von Ebner's glands, are heavily glycosylated glycoproteins and the two main ones in saliva are MUC5B and MUC7, the former being of high molecular weight and the latter of much lower molecular weight.²⁶ These mucins form a slimy, viscoelastic coating of all surfaces in the oral cavity and act as an important lubricant between opposing surfaces during such processes as mastication, swallowing and speaking. These three processes are very difficult for patients who have little or no salivary flow because of such conditions as Sjögren's syndrome, radiotherapeutic damage to the salivary glands or medication-induced salivary gland dysfunction.¹⁷ MUC5B and MUC7 form part of the acquired enamel pellicle^{27,28} and of the mucosal pellicle which forms a very thin layer attached to the surface of the oral mucosa. They are, of course, present in the salivary film (estimated mean thickness of 0.07–0.10 mm) which separates adjoining surfaces in the mouth.²⁹

In vitro studies have shown that MUC5B is a more effective lubricant than MUC7.³⁰ In addition, a pilot study on 29 patients who had received radiotherapy for head-and-neck cancer showed that the 12 patients with mild or no xerostomia had higher levels of MUC5B in their submandibular saliva than the 17 patients with more severe xerostomia.³¹ Thus MUC5B may help to reduce the subjective sensation of xerostomia (dry mouth). However, Yakubov³² has pointed out that no single component is able to explain the lubricating ability of saliva and that it is likely to be due to a combination of mucins, glycosylated proline-rich proteins and some lower molecular weight proteins.

3.2. Taste and smell

The sensation of taste can only occur by the interaction of taste substances in solution with specific taste receptors present on taste buds. Thus saliva plays a critical role by providing the fluid in which solid tastants may dissolve and in

distributing the tastant around the oral cavity to the locations of the taste buds.³³ Once the tastant is closer to a taste bud it must diffuse through the salivary film which normally coats the oral mucosa. Taste buds are present around the mouth on the fungiform papillae and in the clefts of the circumvallate and foliate papillae on the tongue, on the soft palate, the epiglottis and the nasopharynx and oesophagus. However, in the past decade receptors which respond to various taste stimuli were discovered in many extraoral tissues, including the brain,³⁴ airway smooth muscle,³⁵ motile cilia of airway epithelial cells,³⁶ nasal epithelium,³⁷ digestive tract,^{38,39} and testis.⁴⁰ Therefore these receptors would perhaps be more appropriately classified as chemosensory rather than taste receptors.

As well as possible receptors for fatty acids, there are five recognised basic tastes and in order of their effectiveness as salivary stimulants they are sour, salt, bitter, sweet and umami (savoury taste), the last being exemplified by monosodium glutamate and 5'-ribonucleotides such as disodium 5'-inosinate.^{40–42} Their effect in reflexly stimulating salivary flow is significant in light of the finding that bitter taste receptors expressed in the airways and digestive tract act as a second line of defence in expelling noxious substances from the body by way of initiating coughing or vomiting, respectively. Saliva, the first line of defence, dilutes any material taken into the mouth and materials with a noxious taste can be actively spat out before they reach the rest of the digestive tract. Certain polyphenols, such as tannins, found in red wines and several plant-based foods, have a bitter taste and an ability to bind to the oral mucosa and cause an astringent or puckering sensation. These effects are ameliorated by their binding to the basic proline-rich proteins and to the histatins in saliva to form insoluble compounds, which are less able to interact with taste receptors or with the oral mucosa.^{43,44} More recently, it was shown that the degree of bitterness of 6-n-propylthiouracil (PROP) was associated with the salivary levels of two products of the PRB1 gene, namely II-2 peptide and Ps-1 protein.⁴⁵ The same group then showed that the salivary levels of II-2 peptide and Ps-1 protein were higher in the saliva of supertasters of PROP than in non-tasters and that addition of Ps-1 protein or arginine (which interact with PROP) to a test solution of PROP greatly increased the bitterness sensation in non-tasters but did not change it in supertasters.⁴⁶ These findings help to explain some of the marked genetically-controlled variability in taste sensitivity in different individuals.

The sour and salt receptors are ion channels for hydrogen and sodium ions, respectively, and whether there is more than one type of each receptor is currently not known. However, there are two types of receptors for sweet (coexpression of T1R2 and T1R3) and for umami (coexpression of T1R1 and T1R3) and 25 for bitter.⁴⁷ Many of these same receptors are also found in tissues around the body, where they may act as chemoreceptors.⁴⁸ The body may respond in various ways to ingestion of non-esterified fatty acids,⁴⁹ and oral taste receptors for these may now have been identified.⁵⁰ Non-esterified fatty acids may be present in foods or be formed by the action on triglycerides of lingual lipase, secreted by von Ebner's glands. In conditions such as Sjögren's syndrome the oral mucosa is very dry. This may damage the taste buds so that they exhibit elevated taste thresholds but they still maintain normal perception of supra-threshold intensities for sour, salt, bitter and sweet stimuli.⁵¹

The fluid secreted by the acinar cells of the salivary glands has an electrolyte composition very similar to that of an ultrafiltrate of plasma.⁵² As that fluid passes through the various components of the salivary duct system, energy is expended to reabsorb virtually all the sodium, chloride, and bicarbonate, but not water, while secreting potassium.⁵³ Thus by the time the fluid reaches the opening of the main excretory duct, unstimulated saliva is hypotonic and its osmotic pressure is only about one sixth of that in plasma or that of saliva in the acinar regions. When flow rate is stimulated though, the main changes in electrolyte content are a marked increase in sodium and bicarbonate and a slight decrease in potassium,⁵³ which has a less salty taste than does sodium.

The hypotonicity of unstimulated saliva is very advantageous with respect to taste. Taste buds adapt quite rapidly to the taste of any solution in the mouth and if unstimulated saliva had the same concentrations of sodium and chloride as those in plasma (see Table 2), we would be unable to taste salty solutions more dilute than that of plasma. Since unstimulated saliva also contains glucose (sweet), bicarbonate (which would buffer acid solutions), and urea (the main bitter-tasting substance in saliva) but all at concentrations below their taste recognition thresholds,⁵⁴ as shown in Table 2, its composition facilitates the sensation of taste. Although saliva contains glutamate, which has an umami taste, its concentration is only about 18 $\mu\text{mol/L}$, whereas its taste detection threshold is 625 $\mu\text{mol/L}$.⁴² The taste receptors appear to be continuously stimulated by the low concentrations of whatever tastants are present in unstimulated saliva.³³

Table 2 – Relation of the concentrations of plasma and unstimulated whole saliva components to median taste recognition thresholds (all in mmol/L).

	Salt		Sour H ⁺	(HCO ₃ ⁻)	Sweet glucose	Bitter urea
	Na ⁺	Cl ⁻				
Plasma	145	101	4 × 10 ⁻⁵	24	4.5	6
Saliva	6	16	1 × 10 ⁻⁴	5	0.08	4
Test tastant	NaCl		HCl	NaHCO ₃	Sucrose	Urea
Median taste recognition threshold	12		3	12	12	120

Data from Henkin et al.⁵⁴ and Dawes¹².

Aromas are detected by olfactory receptors in the nose and they may reach those receptors during the inhalation of air containing the aroma but also via the nasopharynx during the process of food consumption. For liquid products, Normand et al.,⁵⁵ developed a model with aroma release occurring by batch extraction for a few breaths after a swallow but then by continuous release for a short period from lubricated oral and pharyngeal mucosa.

More recently, Doyennette et al.,⁵⁶ have developed and tested (with four cheeses) a model of odour release from solid foods, which includes the masticatory process and its termination by swallowing. Saliva is very much involved in this process by its incorporation into the food bolus, by its melting action on certain foods, its warming or cooling action on some foods and its role in liquefying the food prior to swallowing. The longer the chewing period of each food bolus, the longer will be the time for odour release and the greater will be the incorporation of saliva, since the chewing process and gustatory stimulation both increase salivary flow in an additive manner, as seen by the higher flow rate while chewing flavoured gum, as opposed to chewing gum base.⁵⁷ A more liquefied bolus will also increase the contact area between the bolus and the oral and pharyngeal mucosa, thereby favouring flavour release.

When salivary flow is greatly reduced due to conditions such as Sjögren's syndrome and the oral mucosa is very dry, this may damage the taste buds so that they exhibit elevated taste thresholds.³³ In addition, patients who have received chemotherapy or head and neck radiation therapy usually experience alterations in taste and less frequently in smell which are difficult to treat, because of associated damage to the taste and smell receptors.^{58,59} However, their taste thresholds usually return to normal within about one year and smell thresholds in a somewhat shorter time, although some patients may experience certain changes in taste quality.⁵⁹

3.3. Digestion

The main protein and also digestive enzyme in saliva is α -amylase (1,4-glucan 4-glucanohydrolase), present as six isoenzymes which can split starch into maltose, maltotriose, maltotetrose, and some higher oligosaccharides⁶⁰ with an optimum pH about neutrality. It is primarily present in parotid saliva with concentrations in both submandibular and sublingual saliva being less than one quarter of those in parotid saliva⁶¹ and with extremely low concentrations in minor salivary gland secretions.⁶² Its physiological significance for starch digestion is uncertain, as once a swallowed food bolus is infiltrated with acidic gastric juice, the enzyme is inactivated. However, Woolnough et al.,⁶³ compared the reducing sugar concentration in various starch-containing foods which had either been chewed until ready for swallowing but then spat out and assayed immediately or chopped to a similar consistency without exposure to saliva. They found that with the former procedure up to 17% of the total starch was broken down whereas with the latter virtually none of the starch was broken down. However, when the expectorated food bolus was not assayed for up to 15 min, there was little further increase in reducing sugar release. Although amylase

activity may supply a small source of sugars to the oral microorganisms when foods containing starch are being consumed, its main value may be to facilitate the dissolution of starch-containing food debris retained in the mouth after a meal or snack by forming more soluble products which can dissolve in the saliva. Since microorganisms in the mouth still survive quite well when there is no food taken by mouth, they certainly are not dependent on the products of food breakdown.

Lingual lipase was first identified in the serous glands of the rat tongue⁶⁴ and was shown to be present in rat saliva and act as a potent lipase in the rat stomach. The enzyme was found to be an important enzyme in the gastric contents of people with cystic fibrosis.⁶⁵ In 1988, however, Abrams et al.,⁶⁶ found that in the human stomach, a gastric lipase was considerably more active than lingual lipase. Indeed, in 1993 Spielman et al.,⁶⁷ described a method for collection of secretions of the glands of von Ebner in the human tongue and reported only very low levels of lingual lipase. Thus this enzyme, although apparently significant for lipid metabolism in the rat, does not appear to be of much importance in human fat digestion, even in infancy.⁶⁸

As mentioned in the section on taste, saliva plays an important early role in digestion in that during the initial chewing of a portion of food, it contributes to the formation of a cohesive food bolus, covered by a mucin film, which facilitates the swallowing process. Patients suffering from salivary gland hypofunction usually have to keep drinking water as an inadequate substitute for saliva. In addition, saliva distributes tastants to the various taste receptors and pleasant tastes may contribute to the cephalic phase of gastric acid secretion.⁶⁹

3.4. Protection of the oral mucosa and oesophagus

The volumes of saliva present in the mouth before and after a swallow average 1.1 and 0.8 mL, respectively.⁷⁰ Thus there is usually sufficient saliva present in the mouth to buffer the potentially damaging effects to the oral mucosa of a sip of very hot or very cold drinks. In addition, materials with a noxious taste can be spat out before they have potentially damaging effects on the teeth and oral mucosa. Because of its low bicarbonate concentration (about 5 mmol/L), unstimulated saliva does not have a strong buffering capacity against acid. However, the salivary bicarbonate concentration increases markedly with flow rate to values much greater than in plasma⁵³ and acid is in fact the most potent stimulus of salivary flow. Bicarbonate is an ideal buffer for saliva in that its reaction with hydrogen ions creates carbonic acid. Because saliva contains carbonic anhydrase VI,⁷¹ this converts the carbonic acid to water and the volatile gas carbon dioxide and the latter is given off to the atmosphere. Thus, unlike other buffers, after reaction with acid there is no accumulation of the acidic form of the buffer. Vomiting is another possible source of acid in the mouth from gastric juice and this has a very low pH, in the region of 2. As part of the initial vomiting reflex, salivary flow is highly stimulated. In addition, as acid from gastric juice enters the mouth during the actual vomiting, it too causes a strong reflex stimulation of salivary flow but this increased saliva flow is only partially able to

buffer the hydrochloric acid entering the mouth. Thus individuals who vomit frequently because of bulimia are very likely to experience erosion of their teeth.

As mentioned previously, saliva helps to protect the oral mucosa and the oesophagus by softening and lubricating the surface of hard foods which would otherwise tend to scratch the surface of these tissues as they are chewed and swallowed. The oesophagus contains 600–700 mucous glands that secrete bicarbonate and mucous to form a protective surface layer $95 \pm 12 \mu\text{m}$ thick.⁷² It is of interest that this thickness is similar to that of the mean thickness of the salivary film in the mouth.²⁹ Salivary mucin from swallowed saliva may also contribute to the surface mucous layer of the oesophagus.

The main cause of damage to the oesophageal mucosa is from gastro-oesophageal reflux disease (GORD). This tends to occur when there is an increased frequency of lower oesophageal sphincter (LOS) relaxation, a decrease in the tone of LOS, delayed gastric emptying, impairment within the crural diaphragm component of the LOS, or compromised oesophageal motility.⁷² During GORD, hydrochloric acid and the proteolytic enzyme pepsin, which has an optimum pH of 1.8–2.3, diffuse through the mucin layer and damage the cells lining the oesophagus. In certain conditions, bile may also be present as well as acid and pepsin and its detergent effect increases damage to the oesophageal mucosa. The greatest susceptibility to GORD is immediately after meals, because the peristalsis of the oesophagus and the passage of each food bolus tend to remove part of the mucous layer. After a meal, saliva continues to be secreted as “unstimulated saliva” and with each swallow acts to buffer any acid transported into the oesophagus by GORD and promotes its return to the stomach. The mucin in saliva may also help to replenish the lining mucous layer. In addition, acid in the oesophagus elicits an oesophago-salivary reflex secretion of more saliva⁷² which will have a higher buffering capacity than that of unstimulated saliva because of its higher flow rate.

Another protective factor is the polypeptide epidermal growth factor (EGF) which is secreted in saliva.^{73–75} Although in rodents it is in highest concentration in submandibular saliva, in humans it is in highest concentration in parotid saliva.⁷⁶ The oesophageal mucosal cells contain luminal receptors for EGF, which can diffuse from the saliva, through the mucous coat of the oesophagus, and promote the proliferative activity of the mucosal cells.^{72,75}

More recently, it has been recognised that the refluxed fluids of GORD may extend beyond the oesophagus up into the larynx and pharynx.⁷⁷ They may be detected by miniature pH probes, and by the identification of pepsin in saliva and exhaled breath condensate by use of specific immunoassays. The higher the unstimulated salivary flow, the less likely are gastric fluids to reach into the upper oesophagus. However, during sleep, when salivary flow is extremely low and gravitational effects on fluid in the oesophagus are reduced, there will be less protection against the damaging effects of GORD.

The oral mucosal pellicle was first described by Bradway et al.,⁷⁸ as being due to adsorption of salivary proteins and their attachment to mucosal cells by transglutamination. More recently several salivary proteins, including the mucins MUC5B and MUC7, have been identified in mucosal pellicle^{28,79}

but its thickness has been estimated to be only between 0 and $0.1 \mu\text{m}$.⁷⁹ Nevertheless, this thin mucinous layer can presumably act as a lubricant during contacts between the teeth and oral mucosa or between different types of oral mucosa such as those of the tongue and cheeks. Because the entire surface layer of cells of the oral mucosa has been estimated to be replaced every 3 h,⁸⁰ this may explain why some cells that had just been exposed to the oral fluids may not show any mucosal pellicle.⁷⁹ The composition of the oral mucosal pellicle will presumably determine the types of microorganisms which can attach to the oral mucosa. Because of the fairly rapid turnover of the cells in the oral mucosa, it is not possible for thick layers of biofilm to accumulate on them.

3.5. Tooth protection

Saliva plays many roles in protection of the teeth against abrasion, attrition, erosion, and dental caries.

3.5.1. The acquired enamel pellicle

One major role of saliva is its participation in the formation of the acquired enamel pellicle (AEP), the properties of which have been extensively discussed by Hannig and Joiner.⁸¹ The AEP is primarily a protein layer which covers all surfaces of the enamel and the underlying dentine or cementum when these have become exposed by loss of enamel, although the presence of certain lipids has also been reported.⁸² When first named,⁸³ the AEP was assumed to be derived only from salivary proteins but, with the aid of the technique of proteomics, pellicle formed in vivo over a 2-h period on meticulously polished enamel has now been shown to contain up to 130 different proteins, with 89 of these being present in three or more studies.²⁷ Surprisingly, only 14.4% are of salivary gland origin, the others being from cells (67.8%) and plasma (17.8%). However, the bulk of the protein in the AEP may be derived from salivary proteins. The cellular proteins appear to be derived from oral epithelial cells desquamated into saliva and because of the relatively rapid turnover of the entire surface layer of cells, these are readily available. The plasma proteins will mainly be derived from gingival crevicular fluid. Lee et al.,⁸⁴ reported that calcium- or phosphate-binding proteins such as histatin 3 and statherin tended to form a higher proportion of the proteins which initially attach to the enamel whereas proteins with recognised protein–protein interactions formed a higher proportion of the total protein of the pellicle at later stages. The thickness of the pellicle has been estimated to vary from about $0.3\text{--}1.1 \mu\text{m}$ at different locations in the mouth,⁸⁵ depending on their susceptibility to abrasive forces.

The pellicle begins to reform within seconds of a clean enamel surface being exposed to saliva,⁸¹ so that after enamel has been etched with acid (which removes the pellicle and a few μm of enamel) prior to placement of a composite resin, it is important that the enamel not come in contact again with saliva before application of the resin, since the resin will not bond well to pellicle-covered enamel. However, this remarkable ability of saliva to reform a new layer of proteins almost instantaneously on an exposed enamel surface makes the pellicle an excellent renewable lubricant. In fact the pellicle has been shown to reduce the frictional coefficient between

and greatly retard their growth.⁹⁵ In addition, the average half-time of saliva in the mouth when a person is awake is only 2.2 min,¹² so this gives little time for mineral to precipitate out before the saliva is swallowed. During sleep the salivary flow rate is extremely low²² but as the bicarbonate concentration and pH also decrease as flow rate decreases, the saliva would be expected to be much less supersaturated with respect to tooth minerals at that time.

Dental caries begins by acid dissolution of tooth mineral, initiated by acidogenic microorganisms in dental plaque which has been exposed to fermentable carbohydrate.⁹⁶ Individuals with hyposalivation are more susceptible to dental caries because of the loss of the many protective factors in saliva.^{7,97} The reduced flow rate retards oral sugar clearance and also adversely affects salivary buffering of plaque acid. At a low flow rate the salivary bicarbonate concentration is reduced, causing a fall in salivary pH, and although the phosphate concentration is increased at a low flow rate, its effectiveness as a buffer is reduced since the salivary pH will be less than the pK_2 value for phosphate (see Eq. (2)), so that most phosphate is already in the $H_2PO_4^-$ form and cannot take up an extra hydrogen ion until the pH is close to the pK_1 value.

When fermentable carbohydrates such as sucrose are consumed, they diffuse into dental plaque where certain microorganisms can convert them into lactic and other organic acids. This causes a temporary reduction in the pH of the plaque with a subsequent rise as the acids diffuse out into the overlying salivary film and some base is produced.⁹⁸ This fall and rise in pH is termed the Stephan curve, after the person who first described it. If the pH of the fluid phase of dental plaque falls below the critical pH (the pH at which the fluid is just saturated with respect to tooth mineral) the tooth will tend to dissolve and a caries lesion will be initiated or progress. The critical pH depends on the composition of the fluid phase of plaque but it is considered to be in the range of 5.2–5.6.^{90,99} The velocity with which the salivary film moves over dental plaque is a major factor influencing the rate at which acid formed in dental plaque can diffuse out into the overlying salivary film. Computer modelling and use of a physical model have shown that the rate of acid clearance from plaque is inversely related to the salivary film velocity.¹⁰⁰ Since the velocity of the salivary film varies markedly in different regions of the mouth,¹⁰¹ sites with a low film velocity will be more susceptible to caries progression, which explains the increased caries in individuals with a very dry mouth.

Another important anticariogenic component of saliva is urea, the concentration of which is slightly less than that in blood. Certain microorganisms in dental plaque secrete urease which converts urea into two molecules of ammonia and one of carbon dioxide. As ammonia is a stronger base than carbon dioxide is an acid, this tends to raise the plaque pH.⁹⁸ In fact it has been calculated by computer modelling that if saliva did not contain urea, the minimum pH in the Stephan curve would be deeper by an additional 0.5 units.¹⁰²

3.5.4. Anti-bacterial, anti-viral, anti-fungal and some other effects of salivary proteins

Saliva contains a large number of proteins and peptides which have been shown to have anti-bacterial, anti-viral and anti-fungal effects.^{103–107} However, since the mouth is known to

contain about 700 different species of microorganisms, it is clear that these antimicrobial factors are not present in sufficient concentration to eliminate the members of the normal flora. For instance, α -amylase is a growth inhibitor of *Porphyromonas gingivalis*, a periodontal pathogenic bacterium,¹⁰⁸ but this organism still survives in the mouth. It is possible though that antibacterial factors, in conjunction with good oral hygiene, function to maintain the proportion of the harmful oral flora at levels sufficiently low for oral health to be maintained. In addition, they may maintain the oral flora at sufficiently low levels that systemic infection with oral microorganisms rarely occurs. They may, of course, prevent some pathogenic microorganisms from ever colonising the mouth at all.

There are several cationic proteins in saliva including histatin, statherin and alpha and beta defensins. The most abundant histatins are histatin 1, 3 and 5, the last being a derivative of histatin 3, and they inhibit the growth of *Candida albicans*, an opportunistic oral fungus.¹⁰⁹ Statherin's primary function may be to inhibit the crystallisation of calcium phosphate from saliva but it also inhibits the growth of anaerobic bacteria.¹⁰³ Alpha defensins are secreted into saliva by neutrophils which enter the mouth via the gingival crevice, while beta defensins are secreted by epithelial cells. Beta defensins subtypes 1 and 3, but not 2, have been found to be increased in rat parotid glands (but not the submandibular or sublingual glands) in which lipopolysaccharide has been injected into the duct system to elicit an inflammatory action.¹¹⁰ The defensins may have antibacterial effects and may also have antiviral effects.^{110–112}

There are a number of bacterial agglutinins in saliva which agglutinate microorganisms, thereby facilitating their removal by swallowing and possibly inhibiting their attachment to oral surfaces. These include the mucin MUC7, proline-rich proteins, and salivary agglutinin, the last of which is identical with GP340¹⁰⁴ and DMBT1.¹¹³

Lactoferrin is a chelator which has high affinity for iron (Fe^{3+}) and the removal of this essential metal inhibits the metabolic activity of several pathogenic microorganisms.

Other salivary proteins, such as the cystatins (cysteine-containing phosphoproteins) and secretory leucocyte protease inhibitor (SLPI), act as protease inhibitors and their actions inhibit the ability of microorganisms to metabolise salivary proteins to amino acids. Lysozyme is derived from the salivary glands, crevicular fluid and salivary leukocytes. It is strongly cationic and damages microbial cell walls by hydrolysing the $\beta(1-4)$ bond between N-acetylmuramic acid and N-acetylglucosamine in the peptidoglycan layer of the bacterial cell wall. Unstimulated submandibular saliva has been shown to inhibit the HIV-1 virus, even when diluted several-fold,^{114,115} and this may explain why HIV infection is not considered to be transmitted by the oral route. Saliva contains two peroxidases, namely salivary peroxidase and myeloperoxidase.¹¹⁷ Using hydrogen peroxide, they are able to convert salivary thiocyanate into hypothiocyanite ($OSCN^-$), a more potent oxidising and antibacterial agent than hydrogen peroxide. In inflamed gingival crevices, myeloperoxidase is able to produce hypochlorite (OCl^-), a chemically more powerful oxidant and antibacterial agent which may cause tissue damage.¹¹⁶

The main antibody to oral microorganisms in saliva is secretory IgA (sIgA) which is induced in IgA B lymphocytes in the gut-associated lymphoid tissue. These cells locate in the salivary glands where they form an IgA dimer and also produce a joining chain. This dimer plus joining chain is taken up by the poly-IgA receptor (also termed secretory component) on the surface of acinar cells prior to its release into saliva. Secretory component is a small, heavily glycosylated molecule which makes the IgA dimer less susceptible to bacterial proteolytic enzymes than is the single molecule IgA. However, the functional significance of sIgA is uncertain, as persons with a hereditary lack of IgA do not appear to be more susceptible to oral disease, although they may show an increase in IgM in their saliva.¹⁰⁶ In addition, small amounts of IgG and IgM may enter whole saliva via the gingival crevices.

Certain of the miRNAs in saliva have antiviral activity and saliva has been used as a treatment of ophthalmic herpes zoster.¹¹⁷ Saliva also contains three transcobalamins¹¹⁸ which bind vitamin B12 and may facilitate its uptake across the wall of the GI tract. Castagnola and his colleagues have shown that the protein composition of saliva does not remain constant with age but changes markedly, particularly in infants and children¹¹⁹ and much work remains to be done in this area to understand the significance of the changes with age.⁷

3.5.5. Wound-healing factors

Like other parts of the body, the mouth is susceptible to wounds of various types, ranging from cheek biting to tooth extraction, and saliva plays important roles in wound healing, many of which have been well described by Brand et al.¹²⁰ Studies on pigs have shown that the mouth heals much more quickly from wounds than does the skin¹²¹ and a major factor is that the salivary mucous layer keeps the oral mucosa from becoming desiccated. Tissue factor from salivary exosomes accelerates haemostasis and it is presumed that the many antibacterial factors in saliva¹⁰³ are usually sufficient to prevent infection of an oral wound. Saliva also contains various growth factors, including EGF, as mentioned previously, transforming growth factor alpha, trefoil factor 3¹²² and vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF). The latter is one of the main angiogenic growth factors and is also involved in reepithelialisation and regulation of extracellular matrix.¹²³ Other factors, including SLPI, inhibit protease activity and have anti-inflammatory and antimicrobial activity. The histatin 1 in saliva also stimulates the migration of epithelial cells and fibroblasts,¹²⁴ which facilitates the closure of wounds. More recently, Umeki et al.,¹²⁵ showed that leptin, an anti-obesity hormone present in saliva,¹²⁶ promotes wound healing by stimulating angiogenesis.

Exposure to stress is known to reduce the rate of wound healing¹²⁷ which is dependent on activation of oral keratinocytes. Saliva is known to contain catecholamines¹²⁸ and Steenhuis et al.,¹²⁸ showed that keratinocytes contain α_{2B} - and β_2 -adrenergic receptors which, when activated, impair oral keratinocyte migration. Thus the higher levels of catecholamines in stress may cause delayed healing by their inhibitory action on oral keratinocytes. Melatonin is synthesised in the pineal gland and in the gastrointestinal tract and passes into the circulatory system. It is present in saliva at levels 15–33% of those in plasma¹²⁹ and may have many functions in the

mouth, such as being a scavenger of free radicals, acting as an indirect antioxidant and being beneficial against periodontal disease.¹²⁹ In contrast, leptin, a hormone secreted by fat cells, particularly in persons with obesity, has been shown to interfere negatively with the regenerative capacity of isolated human periodontal ligament cells.¹³⁰

4. Conclusion

In conclusion, saliva is a remarkably complex fluid with a large number of properties and functions which are indispensable for both oral and general health.

Funding

No financial support by non-university sources.

Competing interests

None declared.

Ethical approval

Not required.

Authors' contributions

All authors were involved in selection of the key words for the review. The reviewers, supervised by the consultants, assessed the quality of the identified articles. The first three authors wrote the first draft of the review and the others subsequently provided constructive input.

Acknowledgements

Our sponsors: American Academy of Oral Medicine, Biocosmetics, patients of Dr. David Sirois, European Association of Oral Medicine, Johnson and Johnson, The Oral Cancer Foundation, Unilever, and Elsevier are gratefully acknowledged for their unrestricted educational grants to help us hold the 2014 WWOM VI meeting in Orlando. The Wrigley Company for support of the attendance of CD at the Orlando meeting.

REFERENCES

1. Edgar M, Dawes C, O'Mullane D. *Saliva and oral health*. 4th ed. London: Stephen Hancocks Ltd.; 2012: 1–154.
2. In: Ligtenberg AJM, Veerman ECI, editors. *Saliva secretion and functions Monographs in oral science*, vol. 24. 2014:p. 1–154.
3. Mandel ID. The functions of saliva. *J Dent Res* 1987;66(Special Issue):623–7.
4. Humphries SP, Williamson RT. A review of saliva: normal composition, flow, and function. *J Prosthet Dent* 2001;85(2):162–9.

5. Pedersen AM, Bardow A, Jensen SB, Nauntofte B. Saliva and gastrointestinal functions of taste, mastication, swallowing and digestion. *Oral Dis* 2002;8(3):117–29.
6. de Almeida Pdel V, Grégio AMT, Machado MAN, de Lima AAS, Azevedo LR. Saliva composition and functions: a comprehensive review. *J Contemp Dent Pract* 2008;9(3):72–80.
7. Ekström J, Khosravani N, Castagnola M, Messana I. Saliva and the control of its secretion. In: Ekberg O, editor. *Dysphagia diagnosis and treatment*. Berlin: Springer-Verlag; 2012. p. 19–47.
8. Carpenter G. The secretion, components, and properties of saliva. *Annu Rev Food Sci Technol* 2013;4:267–76.
9. Baccaglioni L, Brennan MT, Lockhart PB, Patton LL. Process and methodology for systematic review and developing management recommendations. Reference manual for management recommendations writing committees. *Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Pathol Oral Radiol Endod* 2007;103(Suppl. S3):e1–9.
10. Moher D, Liberati A, Tetzlaff J, Altman DG, The PRISMA Group. Preferred reporting items for systematic reviews and meta-analyses: the PRISMA statement. *Ann Intern Med* 2009;151(4):264–9.
11. Malamud D. Saliva as a diagnostic fluid. *Dent Clin North Am* 2011;55(1):159–78.
12. Dawes C. Salivary clearance and its effects on oral health. In: Edgar M, Dawes C, O'Mullane D, editors. *Saliva and oral health*. 4th ed. London: Stephen Hancocks Ltd.; 2012. p. 81–96. [chapter 5].
13. McQuone SJ. Acute viral and bacterial infections of the salivary glands. *Otolaryngol Clin North Am* 1999;32(5):793–811.
14. Becks H, Wainwright WW. Human saliva XIII. Rate of flow of resting saliva of healthy individuals. *J Dent Res* 1943;22(5):391–6.
15. Andersson R, Arvidsson E, Crossner CG, Holm AK, Mansson B. The flow rate, pH and buffer effect of mixed saliva in children. *J Int Assoc Dent Child* 1974;5(1):5–12.
16. Heintze U, Birkhed D, Björn H. Secretion rate and buffer effect of resting and stimulated whole saliva as a function of age and sex. *Swed Dent J* 1983;7(6):227–38.
17. Sreebny LM, Vissink A, editors. *Dry mouth, the malevolent symptom: a clinical guide*. Ames, Iowa: Wiley-Blackwell; 2010.
18. Fox PC, Busch KA, Baum BJ. Subjective reports of xerostomia and objective measures of salivary gland performance. *J Am Dent Assoc* 1987;115(4):581–4.
19. Närhi TO. Prevalence of subjective feelings of dry mouth in the elderly. *J Dent Res* 1994;73(1):20–5.
20. Longman LP, Higham SM, Rai K, Edgar WM, Field EA. Salivary gland hypofunction in elderly patients attending a xerostomia clinic. *Gerodontology* 1995;12(2):67–72.
21. Dawes C. Rhythms in salivary flow rate and composition. *Int J Chronobiol* 1974;2(3):253–79.
22. Schneyer LH, Pigman W, Hanahan L, Gilmore RW. Rate of flow of human parotid, sublingual, and submaxillary secretions during sleep. *J Dent Res* 1956;35(1):109–14.
23. Dawes C. How much saliva is enough for avoidance of xerostomia? *Caries Res* 2004;38(3):236–40.
24. Watanabe S, Dawes C. The effects of different foods and concentrations of citric acid on the flow rate of whole saliva in man. *Arch Oral Biol* 1988;33(1):1–5.
25. Hainsworth FR. Saliva spreading, activity, and body temperature regulation in the rat. *Am J Physiol* 1967;212(6):1288–92.
26. Tabak LA. In defense of the oral cavity: structure, biosynthesis, and function of salivary mucins. *Annu Rev Physiol* 1995;57:547–64.
27. Siqueira WL, Zhang W, Helmerhorst EJ, Gygi SP, Oppenheim FG. Identification of protein components in *in vivo* human acquired enamel pellicle using LC-ESI-MS/MS. *J Proteome Res* 2007;6(6):2152–60.
28. Gibbins HL, Proctor GB, Yakubov GE, Wilson S, Carpenter GH. Concentration of salivary protective proteins within the bound oral mucosal pellicle. *Oral Dis* 2014;20(7):707–13.
29. Collins LMC, Dawes C. The surface area of the adult human mouth and thickness of the salivary film covering the teeth and oral mucosa. *J Dent Res* 1987;66(8):1300–2.
30. Aguirre A, Mendoza B, Reddy MS, Scannapieco FA, Levine MJ, Hatton MN. Lubrication of selected salivary molecules and artificial salivas. *Dysphagia* 1989;4(2):95–100.
31. Dijkema T, Terhaard CHJ, Roesink JM, Raaijmakers CPJ, van den Keijbus PAM, Brand HS, et al. MUC5B levels in submandibular gland saliva of patients treated with radiotherapy for head-and-neck cancer: a pilot study. *Radiat Oncol* 2012;7:91. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1748-717X-7-91>.
32. Yakubov GE. Lubrication. *Monogr Oral Sci* 2014;24:71–87.
33. Matsuo R. Role of saliva in the maintenance of taste sensitivity. *Crit Rev Oral Biol Med* 2000;11(2):216–29.
34. Singh N, Vrontakis M, Parkinson F, Chelikani P. Functional bitter taste receptors are expressed in brain cells. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 2011;406(1):146–51.
35. Deshpande DA, Wang WCH, McIlmoyle EL, Robinett KS, Schillinger RM, An SS, et al. Bitter taste receptors on airway smooth muscle bronchodilate by localized calcium signaling and reverse obstruction. *Nat Med* 2010;16(11):1299–304.
36. Shah AS, Ben-Shahar Y, Moninger TO, Kline JN, Welsh MJ. Motile cilia of human airway epithelia are chemosensory. *Science* 2009;325(5944):1131–4.
37. Finger TE, Böttger B, Hansen A, Anderson KT, Alimohammadi H, Silver WL. Solitary chemoreceptor cells in the nasal cavity serve as sentinels of respiration. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2003;100(15):8981–6.
38. Wu SV, Rozengurt N, Yang M, Young SH, Sinnett-Smith J, Rozengurt E. Expression of bitter taste receptors of the T2R family in the gastrointestinal tract and enteroendocrine STC-1 cells. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2002;99(4):2392–7.
39. Kitamura A, Tsurugizawa T, Uematsu A, Uneyama H. The sense of taste in the upper gastrointestinal tract. *Curr Pharm Des* 2014;20(16):2713–24.
40. Li F. Taste perception: from the tongue to the testis. *Mol Hum Reprod* 2013;19(6):349–60.
41. Yamaguchi S, Ninomiya K. What is umami? *Food Rev Int* 1998;14(2–3):123–38.
42. Yamaguchi S. Basic properties of umami and its effects on food flavor. *Food Rev Int* 1998;14(2–3):139–76.
43. Lu Y, Bennick A. Interaction of tannin with human salivary proline-rich proteins. *Arch Oral Biol* 1998;43(9):717–28.
44. Bennick A. Interaction of plant polyphenols with salivary proteins. *Crit Rev Oral Biol Med* 2002;13(2):184–96.
45. Cabras T, Melis M, Castagnola M, Padiglia A, Tepper BJ, Messana I, et al. Responsiveness to 6-n-propylthiouracil (PROP) is associated with salivary levels of two specific basic proline-rich proteins in humans. *PLOS ONE* 2012;7(2):e30962.
46. Melis M, Aragoni MC, Arca M, Cabras T, Caltagirone C, Castagnola M, et al. Marked increase in PROP taste responsiveness following oral supplementation with selected salivary proteins or their related free amino acids. *PLOS ONE* 2013;8(3):e59810.
47. Liman ER, Zhang YV, Montell C. Peripheral coding of taste. *Neuron* 2014;81(5):984–1000.
48. Pydi SP, Bhullar RP, Chelikani P. Constitutive activity of bitter taste receptors (T2Rs). *Adv Pharmacol* 2014;70:303–26.
49. Tucker RM, Mattes RD, Running CA. Mechanisms and effects of fat taste in humans. *Biofactors* 2014;40(3):313–26.
50. Galindo MM, Voight N, Stein J, van Lengerich J, Raguse JD, Hofmann T, et al. G protein-coupled receptors in human fat taste perception. *Chem Senses* 2012;37(2):125–37.

51. Weiffenbach JM, Schwartz LK, Atkinson JC, Fox PC. Taste performance in Sjogren's syndrome. *Physiol Behav* 1995;57(1):89–96.
52. Martinez JR. Ion transport and water movement. *J Dent Res* 1987;66(Special Issue):638–47.
53. Thaysen JH, Thorn NA, Schwartz IL. Excretion of sodium, potassium, chloride and carbon dioxide in human parotid saliva. *Am J Physiol* 1954;178(1):155–9.
54. Henkin RI, Gill Jr JR, Bartter FC. Studies on taste thresholds in normal man and in patients with adrenal cortical insufficiency: the role of adrenal cortical steroids and of serum sodium concentration. *J Clin Invest* 1963;42(5):727–35.
55. Normand V, Avison S, Parker A. Modeling the kinetics of flavour release during drinking. *Chem Senses* 2004;29(3):235–45.
56. Doyennette M, Délérís I, Féron C, Guichard E, Souchon I, Trelea IC. Main individual and product characteristics influencing in-mouth flavour release during eating masticated food products with different textures: mechanistic modelling and experimental validation. *J Theor Biol* 2014;340:209–21.
57. Dawes C, Macpherson LMD. Effects of nine different chewing-gums and lozenges on salivary flow rate and pH. *Caries Res* 1992;26(3):176–82.
58. Halyard MY. Taste and smell alterations in cancer patients – real problems with few solutions. *J Support Oncol* 2009;7(2):68–9.
59. Hong JH, Omur-Osbek P, Stanek BT, Dietrich AM, Duncan SE, Lee YW, et al. Taste and odor abnormalities in cancer patients. *J Support Oncol* 2009;7(2):58–65.
60. Kaczmarek MJ, Rosenmund H. The action of human pancreatic and salivary isoamylases on starch and glycogen. *Clin Chim Acta* 1977;79(1):69–73.
61. Schneyer LH. Amylase content of separate salivary gland secretions of man. *J Appl Physiol* 1956;9(3):453–5.
62. Dawes C, Wood CM. The composition of human lip mucous gland secretions. *Arch Oral Biol* 1973;18(3):343–50.
63. Woolnough JW, Bird AR, Monro JA, Brennan GS. The effect of a brief salivary α -amylase exposure during chewing on subsequent *in vitro* starch digestion curve profiles. *Int J Mol Sci* 2010;11(8):2780–90.
64. Hamosh M, Scow RO. Lingual lipase and its role in the digestion of dietary lipid. *J Clin Invest* 1973;52(1):88–95.
65. Fredrikzon B, Bläckberg L. Lingual lipase: an important lipase in the digestion of dietary lipids in cystic fibrosis? *Pediatr Res* 1980;14(12):1387–90.
66. Abrams CK, Hamosh M, Lee TC, Ansher AF, Collen MJ, Lewis JH, et al. Gastric lipase: localization in the human stomach. *Gastroenterology* 1988;95(6):1460–4.
67. Spielman AI, D'Abundo S, Field RB, Schmale H. Protein analysis of human von Ebner saliva and a method for its collection from the foliate papillae. *J Dent Res* 1993;72(9):1331–5.
68. Sarles J, Moreau H, Verger R. Human gastric lipase: ontogeny and variations in children. *Acta Paediatr* 1992;81(6–7):511–3.
69. Katschinski M. Nutritional implications of cephalic phase gastrointestinal responses. *Appetite* 2000;34(2):89–96.
70. Lagerlöf F, Dawes C. The volume of saliva in the mouth before and after swallowing. *J Dent Res* 1984;63(5):618–21.
71. Kivelä J, Parkkila S, Parkkila A-K, Leinonen J, Rajaniemi H. Salivary carbonic anhydrase isoenzyme VI. *J Physiol* 1999;520(2):315–20.
72. Sarosiek J, McCallum RW. Mechanisms of oesophageal mucosal defence. *Baillieres Clin Gastroenterol* 2000;14(5):701–17.
73. Zelles T, Purushotham KR, Macauley SP, Oxford GE, Humphreys-Beher MG. Saliva and growth factors: the fountain of youth resides in us all. *J Dent Res* 1995;74(12):1826–32.
74. Kongara KR, Soffer EE. Saliva and esophageal protection. *Am J Gastroenterol* 1999;94(6):1446–52.
75. Eckley CA, Sardinha LR, Rizzo LV. Salivary concentration of epidermal growth factor in adults with reflux laryngitis before and after treatment. *Ann Otol Rhinol Laryngol* 2013;122(7):440–4.
76. Thesleff I, Viinikka L, Saxén L, Lehtonen E, Perheentupa J. The parotid gland is the main source of human salivary epidermal growth factor. *Life Sci* 1988;43(1):13–8.
77. Bardhan KD, Strugala V, Dettmar PW. Reflux revisited: advancing the role of pepsin. *Int J Otolaryngol* 2012;2012:646901. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2012/646901>. Epub 2011 Nov 10.
78. Bradway SD, Bergey EJ, Jones PC, Levine MJ. Oral mucosal pellicle. Adsorption and transpeptidation of salivary components to buccal epithelial cells. *Biochem J* 1989;261(3):887–96.
79. Morzel M, Tai S, Brignot H, Lherminier J. Immunocytological detection of salivary mucins (MUC5B) on the mucosal pellicle lining human epithelial buccal cells. *Microsc Res Tech* 2014;77(6):453–7.
80. Dawes C. Estimates, from salivary analyses, of the turnover time of the oral mucosal epithelium in humans and the number of bacteria in an edentulous mouth. *Arch Oral Biol* 2003;48(5):329–36.
81. Hannig M, Joiner A. The structure, function and properties of the acquired pellicle. *Monogr Oral Sci* 2006;19:29–64.
82. Slomiany BL, Murty VLN, Zdebska E, Slomiany A, Gwozdziński K, Mandel ID. Tooth surface-pellicle lipids and their role in the protection of dental enamel against lactic-acid diffusion in man. *Arch Oral Biol* 1986;31(3):187–91.
83. Dawes C, Jenkins GN, Tonge HC. The nomenclature of the integuments of the enamel surface of teeth. *Br Dent J* 1963;115:65–8.
84. Lee YH, Zimmerman JN, Custodio W, Xiao Y, Basiri T, Hatibovic-Kofman S, et al. Proteomic evaluation of acquired enamel pellicle during *in vivo* formation. *PLOS ONE* 2013;8(7):e67919.
85. Amaechi BT, Higham SM, Edgar WM, Milosevic A. Thickness of acquired salivary pellicle as a determinant of the sites of dental erosion. *J Dent Res* 1999;78(12):1821–8.
86. Berg ICH, Rutland MW, Arnebrant T. Lubricating properties of the initial salivary pellicle – an AFM study. *Biofouling* 2003;19(6):365–9.
87. Joiner A, Schwarz A, Philpotts CJ, Cox TF, Huber K, Hannig M. The protective nature of pellicle towards toothpaste abrasion on enamel and dentine. *J Dent* 2008;36(5):360–8.
88. Buzalaf MAR, Hannas AR, Kato MT. Saliva and dental erosion. *J Appl Oral Sci* 2012;20(5):493–502.
89. Vukosavljevic D, Custodio W, Buzalaf MAR, Hara AT, Siqueira WL. Acquired pellicle as a modulator for dental erosion. *Arch Oral Biol* 2014;59(6):631–8.
90. ten Cate JM. The role of saliva in mineral equilibria. In: Edgar M, Dawes C, O'Mullane D, editors. *Saliva and oral health*. 4th ed. London: Stephen Hancocks Ltd.; 2012 p. 135–50. [chapter 8].
91. Dawes C. A mathematical model of salivary clearance of sugar from the oral cavity. *Caries Res* 1983;17(4):321–34.
92. Da Silva Marques DN, da Mata AD, Patto JMV, Barcelos FAD, de Almeida Rato Amaral JP, de Oliveira MC, et al. Effects of gustatory stimulants of salivary secretion on salivary pH and flow in patients with Sjögren's syndrome: a randomized controlled trial. *J Oral Pathol Med* 2011;40(10):785–92.
93. Parnell C, O'Mullane DM. After-brush rinsing protocols, frequency of toothpaste use: fluoride and other active ingredients. *Monogr Oral Sci* 2013;23:140–53.

94. Bruun C, Thylstrup A. Fluoride in whole saliva and dental caries experience in areas with high or low concentrations of fluoride in the drinking water. *Caries Res* 1984;**18**(5): 450–6.
95. Schlesinger DH, Hay DI. Complete covalent structure of statherin, a tyrosine-rich acidic peptide which inhibits calcium phosphate precipitation from human parotid saliva. *J Biol Chem* 1977;**252**(5):1689–95.
96. Stookey GK. The effect of saliva on dental caries. *J Am Dent Assoc* 2008;**139**(Suppl. 2):11S–7S.
97. Atkinson JC, Fox PC. Salivary gland dysfunction. *Clin Geriatr Med* 1992;**8**(3):499–511.
98. Burne RA, Marquis RE. Alkali production by oral bacteria and protection against dental caries. *FEMS Microbiol Lett* 2000;**193**(1):1–6.
99. Shellis RP. Formation of caries-like lesions in vitro on the root surfaces of human teeth in solutions simulating plaque fluid. *Caries Res* 2010;**44**(4):380–9.
100. Dawes C. An analysis of factors influencing diffusion from dental plaque into a moving film of saliva and the implications for caries. *J Dent Res* 1989;**68**(11):1483–8.
101. Dawes C, Watanabe S, Biglow-Lecomte P, Dibdin GH. Estimation of the velocity of the salivary film at some different locations in the mouth. *J Dent Res* 1989;**68**(11):1479–82.
102. Dibdin GH, Dawes C. A mathematical model of the influence of salivary urea on the pH of fasted dental plaque and on the changes occurring during a cariogenic challenge. *Caries Res* 1998;**32**(1):70–4.
103. Gorr S-U. Antimicrobial peptides of the oral cavity. *Periodontology* 2000 2009;**51**:152–80.
104. Malamud D, Abrams WR, Barber CA, Weissman D, Rehtanz M, Golub E. Antiviral activities in human saliva. *Adv Dent Res* 2011;**23**(1):34–7.
105. Fábíán TK, Hermann P, Beck A, Fejérdy P, Fábíán G. Salivary defense proteins: their network and role in innate and acquired oral immunity. *Int J Mol Sci* 2012;**13**(4): 4295–320.
106. Helmerhorst EJ. Protective functions of saliva. In: Edgar M, Dawes C, O'Mullane D, editors. *Saliva and oral health*. 4th ed. London: Stephen Hancocks Ltd.; 2012. p. 115–34. [chapter 7].
107. van't Hof W, Veerman ECI, van Nieuw Amerongen A, Ligtenberg AJM. Antimicrobial defense systems in saliva. *Monogr Oral Sci* 2014;**24**:40–51.
108. Ochiai A, Harada K, Hashimoto K, Shibata K, Ishiyama Y, Mitsui T, et al. α -Amylase is a potential growth inhibitor of *Porphyromonas gingivalis*, a periodontal pathogenic bacterium. *J Periodont Res* 2014;**49**(1):62–8.
109. Oppenheim FG, Xu T, McMillian FM, Levitz SM, Diamond RD, Offner GD, et al. Histatins, a novel family of histidine-rich proteins in human parotid secretion. Isolation, characterization, primary structure, and fungistatic effects on *Candida albicans*. *J Biol Chem* 1988;**263**(16):7472–7.
110. Darnell M, Aras HC, Magnusson B, Ekström J. Lipopolysaccharide induced-in vivo increases in β -defensins of the rat parotid gland. *Arch Oral Biol* 2006;**51**(9):769–74.
111. Diamond G, Beckloff N, Ryan LK. Host defense peptides in the oral cavity and the lung: similarities and differences. *J Dent Res* 2008;**87**(10):915–27.
112. Mathison RD, Davison JS, Befus AD, Gingerich DA. Salivary gland derived peptides as a new class of anti-inflammatory agents: review of preclinical pharmacology of C-terminal peptides of SMR1 protein. *J Inflamm (Lond)* 2010;**7**(September):49. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1476-9255-7-49>.
113. Madsen J, Mollenhauer J, Holmskov U. Gp-340/DMBT1 in mucosal innate immunity. *Innate Immun* 2010;**16**(3):160–7.
114. Fox PC, Wolff A, Yeh C-K, Atkinson JC, Baum BJ. Saliva inhibits HIV-1 infectivity. *J Am Dent Assoc* 1988;**116**(6): 635–7.
115. Fox PC, Wolff A, Yeh C-K, Atkinson JC, Baum BJ. Salivary inhibition of HIV-1 infectivity: functional properties and distribution in men, women, and children. *J Am Dent Assoc* 1989;**118**(6):709–11.
116. Ashby MT. Inorganic chemistry of defensive peroxidases in the human oral cavity. *J Dent Res* 2008;**87**(10):900–14.
117. Irmak MK, Erdem U, Kubar A. Antiviral activity of salivary microRNAs for ophthalmic herpes zoster. *Theor Biol Med Model* 2012;**9**(June):21. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1186/1742-4682-9-21>.
118. Kumar S, Rathi M, Meyer LM. Studies on vitamin B₁₂ binding proteins in human saliva. *Proc Soc Exp Biol Med* 1976;**151**(1):212–4.
119. Cabras T, Pisano E, Boi R, Olianias A, Manconi B, Inzitari R, et al. Age-dependent modifications of the human salivary secretory protein complex. *J Proteome Res* 2009;**8**(8):4126–34.
120. Brand HS, Ligtenberg AJM, Veerman ECI. Saliva and wound healing. *Monogr Oral Sci* 2014;**24**:52–60.
121. Wong JW, Gallant-Behm C, Wiebe C, Mak K, Hart DA, Larjava H, et al. Wound healing in oral mucosa results in reduced scar formation as compared with skin: evidence from the red Durok pig model and humans. *Wound Repair Regen* 2009;**17**(5):717–29.
122. Storesund T, Hayashi K, Kolltveit KM, Bryne M, Schenck K. Salivary trefoil factor 3 enhances migration of oral keratinocytes. *Eur J Oral Sci* 2008;**116**(2):135–40.
123. Keswani SG, Balaji S, Le LD, Leung A, Parvadia JK, Frischer J, et al. Role of salivary vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) in palatal mucosal wound healing. *Wound Repair Regen* 2013;**21**(4):554–62.
124. Oudhoff MJ, Bolscher JGM, Nazmi K, Kalay H, van't Hoff W, Nieuw Amerongen AV, et al. Histatins are the main wound-closure stimulating factors in human saliva, as identified in a cell culture assay. *FASEB J* 2008;**22**(11): 3805–12.
125. Umeki H, Tokuyama R, Ide S, Okubo M, Tadokoro S, Tezuka M, et al. Leptin promotes wound healing in the oral mucosa. *PLOS ONE* 2014;**9**(7):e101984.
126. Gröschl M, Rauh M, Wagner R, Neuhuber W, Metzler M, Tamgüney G, et al. Identification of leptin in human saliva. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 2001;**86**(11):5234–9.
127. Steenhuis P, Huntley RE, Gurenko Z, Yin L, Dale BA, Fazel N, et al. Adrenergic signaling in human oral keratinocytes and wound repair. *J Dent Res* 2011;**90**(2):186–92.
128. Okumura T, Nakajima Y, Matsuoka M, Takamatsu T. Study of salivary catecholamines using fully automated column-switching high-performance liquid chromatography. *J Chromatogr B Biomed Sci Appl* 1997;**694**:305–16.
129. Cutando A, Aneiros-Fernández J, López-Valverde A, Arias-Santiago S, Aneiros-Cachaza J, Reiter RJ. A new perspective in oral health: potential importance and actions of melatonin receptors MT₁, MT₂, MT₃, and RZR/ROR in the oral cavity. *Arch Oral Biol* 2011;**56**(10):944–50.
130. Nokhbehsaim M, Keser S, Nogueira AVB, Jäger A, Jepson S, Cirelli JA, et al. Leptin effects on the regenerative capacity of human periodontal cells. *Int J Endocrinol* 2014;**2014**:180304. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/2014/180304>. Epub 2014 Jul 22.